

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,559	12/19/2005	Jae-Hyuk Oh	60,469-241; OT-5178 LAB	9936
Theodore W C	7590 08/19/200 Olds	EXAMINER		
Carlson Gaske	y & Olds	KRUER, STEFAN		
Suite 350 400 W Maple	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Birmingham,			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/561,559		OH ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Stefan Kruer	3654		

	Stefan Kruer	3654						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check ther box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See IMPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
	cliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	e of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further or They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bell 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issue appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			DTOL 204)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/Peter M. Cuomo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has not convincingly traversed the rejections of obviousness in view of the teaching of the prior at of record. The primary reference discloses the centering of an elevator car using magnets having interactive, attractive forces whereby the secondary reference teaches the use of magnets affording interactive, repulsive forces for vibration damping of an elevator car. That the magnets of the secondary reference are to maintain a "longitudinal gap" and are not for centering the elevator car does not preclude the teaching in view of the use of magnets affording repulsive: in lieu of attractive interaction. Furthermore, the "floating" effect of the secondary reference is in keeping with the understanding of the function of the instant invention in view of the interaction of its magnets and the ability of the "...cross members to traverse freely from one direction from one surface to another surface of the respective upper and lover guide members...," whereby an objection wande to the disclosure that a "floating" effect was neither referenced in the disclosure, despite the understood interaction of the magnets, nor adequately traversed in applicant's arguments; yet applicant has used the similar term "floating" in his review of the secondary reference. Additionally, as noted in the objections to the disclosure, the magnets of the instant invention provide, as understood, vibration damping as well as centering of the car. The replacement drawings submitted 25 June 2008 are acceptable.